

AUG 11 1959 RECEIVED

1

N6583276

(To be submitted to Industrial and Engineering Chemistry.)

STABILITY OF LIQUID OZONE IN GLASS AND IN CONTACT WITH VARIOUS SUBSTANCES

By John H. Lamneck, Jr.

Lewis Research Center  
National Aeronautics and Space Administration  
Cleveland, Ohio

NASA 498  
Gesa Tax 56242

Although ozone is thermodynamically unstable, pure liquid ozone can be stored for long periods of time at the temperature of liquid oxygen (-183° C) with no apparent decomposition (6). Kinetic data obtained for the decomposition of solutions of ozone in carbon tetrachloride at room temperature (1) may be extrapolated to lower temperatures in the range -183° to -112° C, the boiling point of liquid ozone. Such extrapolations suggest, in agreement with the observations of Bower et al., that the rate of decomposition of pure liquid ozone in this temperature range should be immeasurably small.

However, extrapolation of kinetic data over a range which encompasses a two to three fold variation in temperature is undesirable. Some studies have been made of liquid ozone (2 to 8). As an additional observation on the storage stability of liquid ozone in the range between -183° C and its boiling point, samples were held in glass vials for periods of one to a few days and the extent of any decomposition noted.

In addition, liquid ozone was contacted with different materials and chemical compounds, and again, any decomposition was noted. Although some of these results have verified previous work (7), the stability of ozone with a number of materials and laboratory reagents was reported for the first time.

APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE

Ozone was prepared from 99.6 percent pure extra-dry oxygen (Matheson Co.) in an all-glass silent discharge-type ozonizer (5). The oxygen was passed through copper oxide at 950° C to remove hydrocarbons and then through Drierite, Ascarite, and a trap immersed in dry ice and acetone before it entered the ozonizer. After passing through a second dry ice-acetone trap, the ozone-oxygen mixture was condensed in a glass receiver cooled by liquid oxygen.

1  
NASA EVALUATION

Reproduced by  
NATIONAL TECHNICAL

A schematic diagram of the ozone concentration and purification apparatus is shown in figure 1. The oxygen was removed by pumping off through a trap filled with crystalline, metal alumino-silicates (Molecular Sieves, type 5A, 1/16-in. pellets, Linde Air Products Co.), which destroyed any ozone vapors passing through with the oxygen. The last traces of oxygen were eliminated by vaporization and recondensation of the ozone followed by pumping until the pressure was 0.1 millimeter of mercury, the vapor pressure of ozone at  $-183^{\circ}\text{C}$  (7). Ozone in 2 to 3 milliliter quantities not needed for immediate use was stored indefinitely in a liquid nitrogen bath.

Figure 2 shows the test apparatus and associated equipment, which was designed to operate over the temperature range of  $-183^{\circ}$  to  $-110^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The test bulb was immersed in a 2-liter Dewar vessel, which was cooled by a refrigerated stream of air dried by passage through an alumina column and a liquid nitrogen-cooled trap. Precooling of the airstream took place in a coil immersed in a dry ice - acetone bath and final cooling in a U-tube immersed in liquid nitrogen in a 4-liter Dewar vessel. The temperature could be regulated by varying the airflow rate and by immersing the U-tube. A liquid-nitrogen-level device to control this immersion is shown in figure 2. A cork float causes an electrical circuit to open and close, thereby shutting off or admitting liquid nitrogen to the 4-liter Dewar vessel. The cold dry air was discharged upward in the Dewar test vessel from a perforated ring.

The temperature in the Dewar test vessel could be maintained to within  $2^{\circ}$  of the desired temperature by infrequent slight adjustments of the liquid-nitrogen-level control. However, over the 15-hour periods of inattention the temperature varied as much as  $10^{\circ}$  from the set value. A temperature gradient

of  $10^{\circ}$  existed between the bottom and top of the Dewar test vessel with an airflow of 15 to 20 liters per minute.

The test bulb and any added metal were cleaned thoroughly before assembly in the Dewar test vessel. Carbon tetrachloride, dichromate - sulfuric acid cleaning solution, and distilled water were used. Ozone was measured out in 0.5-milliliter samples in the purification apparatus and transferred to the test bulb at  $-196^{\circ}$  C. The bulb was evacuated to 0.1 millimeter of mercury pressure and then isolated. The temperature was permitted to rise to the desired value and the cooling airflow set. Every 4 hours the temperature and pressure were read. As the pressure varied the volume was kept constant by admission or withdrawal of mercury from the manometer.

The apparatus for observing the behavior of various chemicals with ozone is shown in figure 3. The material to be tested was placed in the right-side bulb before the test apparatus was connected to the ozone manifold and evacuated. A 0.1-milliliter sample of ozone was condensed by liquid nitrogen in the left bulb. For liquid ozone tests the ozone was transferred to the material in the right-side bulb by liquid oxygen. Contact between the ozone and test material was maintained for 1/2 hour at  $-183^{\circ}$  C after which time the stopcocks  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  were opened and the resulting pressure if any, read on the sulfuric acid manometer in the purification apparatus. These pressures are listed in table III under a heading designated step A.

The test bulbs with the ozone still in the right-side one were evacuated to 0.1 millimeter of mercury. Then the liquid oxygen bath was removed from the right-side bulb and the ozone was transferred back to the left bulb by cooling it with liquid nitrogen. This transfer required from 3 to 5 minutes.

Stopcocks  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  were again opened and any oxygen pressure in the test bulbs was noted as before. These pressures are listed in table III under a heading designated step B.

Instead of being condensed on the material in the room temperature exposure tests, the 0.1 milliliter of ozone was permitted to vaporize into both arms to approximately atmospheric pressure. After 15 minutes a liquid nitrogen bath was placed on the left arm and the remaining ozone condensed. The remaining oxygen pressure was read on the same manometer used in the liquid tests.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the decomposition tests are listed in table I. Runs 1 to 9 were conducted in a 4-milliliter glass bulb without any other material present. There was a small amount of decomposition in every run. The percent of decomposition was calculated from the pressure due to decomposition. This pressure was obtained by subtracting the vapor pressure of ozone from the observed pressure of the test sample at the temperature of measurement. Perhaps all of the measured decomposition could be attributed to the ozone vapor in the capillary tube leading to the manometer. Decomposition of ozone in the liquid phase at temperatures below  $-112^{\circ}$  C is extremely slow.

Runs 10 to 17 (table II) were made using a 57-milliliter bulb and an added material; of these, three runs (11, 12, and 14) gave an amount of decomposition comparable with the runs in the 4-milliliter bulb. Runs 10, 13, and 15 yielded total pressures that were less than the vapor pressures of ozone at the observed temperatures. These unrealistic results are probably caused by experimental errors in the reading of the temperatures. A reading

higher than the actual value would lead to a negative decomposition pressure. In any event the conclusion is that no decomposition was caused by the added material. Run 10 did not contain any added material but was conducted for comparison purposes.

Two materials caused explosions, spongy nickel (run 16) on initial exposure and a sample of aluminum (run 17) after 13 hours. The latter explosion occurred soon after a reading had been taken.

The following materials caused no measurable decomposition of ozone during 1/2-hour storage tests at -183° C or during subsequent separation of ozone:

1. Iron, powdered
2. Nickel, spongy, previously exposed to O<sub>3</sub>
3. Zinc, pellets
4. Silica gel
5. Polyethylene
6. Molecular sieves
7. Bismuth, crystals
8. Carbon black
9. Calcium boride, finely crushed
10. Calcium hydride
11. Silicon carbide
12. Starch, potato
13. Potassium bromide, small crystals
14. Sucrose
15. Vanadium pentoxide, fine granular
16. Iodine
17. Hydroxyamine hydrochloride
18. Aluminum chloride, hydrated
19. Aluminum acetate
20. Aluminum fluoride
21. Naphthalene
22. Lithium perchlorate
23. Lithium hydride
24. Lithium borohydride
25. Lithium sulfate, hydrated
26. Lithium nitrate
27. Ammonium perchlorate

Materials which did cause some decomposition of ozone either during the 1/2-hour storage tests at  $-183^{\circ}\text{ C}$  (step A) or during the separation of the ozone (step B) are listed in table III. Liquid ozone was unreactive towards the paraffin hydrocarbons, ethane and propane, in a quiescent state at  $-183^{\circ}\text{ C}$  but exploded when an attempt was made to separate the mixtures. With the olefins, ethylene and isobutene, ozone exploded on contact at  $-183^{\circ}\text{ C}$ . However naphthalene did not react. Many materials such as some hydrides, borohydrides, and starches that might be expected to react with ozone do not do so with the liquid phase.

Surface conditions of the materials are probably important in causing ozone to decompose. Carbon black had no effect on liquid ozone, but activated charcoal caused an immediate explosion. Spongy nickel decomposed liquid ozone to a slight extent when fresh but a sample that had been previously exposed to ozone had no further effect.

Of the materials tested with liquid ozone, 14 were also tested with gaseous ozone for 15 minutes at room temperature (table IV). Zinc, calcium boride, sucrose, aluminum fluoride, and lithium perchlorate did not cause the gaseous ozone to decompose much faster than in the apparatus alone. Silica gel, which is known to adsorb ozone readily, actually inhibited the decomposition to a slight extent. The other materials tested caused appreciable decomposition and lithium borohydride even initiated an explosion.

#### CONCLUSIONS

1. The decomposition of 0.5-milliliter samples of undisturbed liquid ozone in the temperature range from  $-183^{\circ}$  to  $-112^{\circ}\text{ C}$  is extremely slow.

2. Some materials which cause the decomposition of gaseous ozone have very little effect on 0.1-milliliter samples of the liquid during 1/2-hour contact at -183° C.

3. The surface condition of a material in contact with ozone may be an important factor in determining the extent of decomposition.

4. Surprisingly, many strong reducing agents such as some hydrides and borohydrides did not react with 0.1-milliliter samples of liquid ozone during 1/2-hour contact at -183° C or during subsequent separation of the ozone.

#### REFERENCES

1. Bower, E. J., Moelwyn-Hughes, E. A., and Hinshelwood, C. N., Proc. Roy. Soc., sec. A, 134, 215 (1931).
2. Brown, C., Berger, A. W., and Hersch, C. K., Jour. Chem. Phys., 22, 1151-1152 (1954).
3. Brown, C., Hersh, C. K., and Berger, A. W., Ibid., 23, 103-108 (1955).
4. Brown, C., Berger, A. W., and Hersh, C. K., Ibid., 23, 1340-1343 (1955).
5. Henne, A. L., and Perilstein, W. L., Jour. Am. Chem. Soc., 65, 2183 (1943).
6. Hughes, R. H., Jour. Chem. Phys., 24, 132 (1956).
7. Jenkins, A. C., Linde Air Products Co. Final Report on U.S.N. Bur. Aero. Contract No. 10945, 1950-1955.
8. Thorpe, C. E., "Bibliography of Ozone Technology," vols. 1 and 2, Swift, Chicago, 1954 and 1955.

TABLE I. - DECOMPOSITION OF OZONE IN GLASS

Run	Average temperature, °C	Time, hr	Percent decomposed	Percent decomposition per 24 hours
1	-160	20	.01	0.01
2	-155	4	.02	.12
3	-152	37	.03	.02
4	-150	26	.05	.05
5	-145	65	.03	.01
6	-129	17	.08	.12
7	-120	23	.09	.09
8	-112	19	.07	.09
9	-112	43	.35	.20

TABLE II. - DECOMPOSITION OF OZONE WITH ADDED MATERIAL

Run	Compatibility material	Average temperature, °C	Time, hr	Percent decomposed	Percent decomposition per 24 hours
10	None	-120	60	(a)	
11	Copper	-116	87	0.46	0.13
12	Lead	-123	84	.78	.22
13	NaCl	-108	84	(a)	
14	Aluminum	-114	62	1.34	.52
15	Magnesium	-114	60	(a)	
16	Nickel, spongy	----	0 (exploded)	-----	
17	Aluminum	-145	13 (exploded)	(a)	

<sup>a</sup>Pressure readings were less than the vapor pressure of ozone.

TABLE III. - EFFECT OF CHEMICALS ON OZONE

	Step A - Pressure rise, mm of H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Step B - Pressure rise, mm of H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>
1. Nickel spongy (fresh)	3	6
2. Alumina, activated	0	6
3. Manganese dioxide, powdered	17	48
4. Aluminum ethoxide	91	Exploded
5. Ethylene	Exploded	
6. Propane	0	Exploded
7. Isobutene	Exploded	
8. Ethane	0	Exploded
9. Lithium peroxide	3	26
10. Charcoal, activated	Exploded	

TABLE IV. - BEHAVIOR OF GASEOUS OZONE WITH VARIOUS SUBSTANCES

Material	Pressure rise, mm of Hg
1. Blank	9
2. Iron, powdered	46
3. Zinc, pellets	10
4. Silica gel	6
5. Alumina, activated	47
6. Molecular sieves	69
7. Calcium boride, finely crushed	16
8. Sucrose	11
9. Aluminum fluoride	15
10. Lithium peroxide	70
11. Lithium perchlorate	19
12. Lithium hydride	64
13. Lithium borohydride	After 8 min exploded
14. Carbon black	55

E-498




FIG. 1. - Ozone concentration and purification apparatus.

Reproduced from  
best available copy.

E-498




Fig. 2. - Decomposition testing apparatus.

Reproduced from  
best available copy.




Fig. 3. - Apparatus for observing the behavior of chemicals with ozone.